മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. കെ. കണ്ണൻ: Ex-service men-ന് സ്ഥലം ഉള്ള ആളുകൾക്കും സ്ഥലം കൊടുക്കുവാൻ ഉദ്ദേശിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ?

റാവു സാഹിബ് എ. മാധവപ്രഭു: സ്ഥലം ഉള്ള ആളുകൾക്ക് കൊടുക്കുകയില്ല. ഇല്ലാത്ത ആളുകൾക്കേ കൊടുക്കുകയുള്ളൂ എന്ന് ആ സ്റ്റീമിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുണ്ട്.

മിസ്റ്റർ പി. ടി. ജേക്കബ്: ഇൻഡ്യാഗവൺമെന്റിൽനിന്നും, സ്ഥലം കൊടുക്കുന്നതിനെപ്പറ്റി വല്ല നിർദ്ദേശങ്ങളും കിട്ടിയിട്ടുണ്ടോ?

റാവു സാഹിബ് എ. മാധവപ്രഭു: സ്ഥലത്തെപ്പറ്റിയല്ല. ഓരോരുത്തക്കും ഈ രണ്ടേപ്പിക കൊടുക്കുക എന്നുള്ളതാണ്. അതു സ്റ്റീം തന്നെ വേറെയാണ്.

Mr. President: The question before the House is that a sum of Rs. 2,767 be granted under "1-A—(f) Land Revenue—Temporary Establishment".

Demand, put and carried.

(XXXII) 1. Land Revenue

Mr. C. R. Iyyunni *(Minister for Revenue):* I rise to move for an additional allotment of Rs. 3,400 under "1-Land Revenue—B—Allowance—(b) Ordinary T.A.". A sum of Rs. 15,557 has been spent towards T.A. of the Diwan Peiahkar's Office and the Taluk offices. The balance available will not be sufficient to meet the expenditure under T.A. during the remaining part of the year. Hence an additional allotment of Rs. 3,400 is necessary under the head "1-Land Revenue—B—Allowance—(b) Ordinary T.A."

Mr. President: The question before the House is that a sum of Rs. 3,400 be granted under "1-Land Revenue—B—Allowance—(b) Ordinary T.A."

Demand, put and carried

(XXXIII) 2. A-4—Subsidy to cultivators

Mr. C. R. Iyyunni *(Minister for Revenue):* I rise to move for an additional allotment of Rs. 1,50,000 under "2-A-4—Subsidy to cultivators". An amount of Rs. 1,25,766—11—11 has yet to be adjusted on account of the subsidy granted in 1121 to cultivators who purchased manure from Government Depots. The probable amount of subsidy that will have to be given this year will come to Rs. 1,25,000. The total amount thus adjusted will be about Rs. 2½ lakhs. The allotment provided for adjusting the subsidy in the Budget for the current year is only rupees one lakh. An additional allotment of Rs. 1,50,000 has to be sanctioned under the above head for adjusting the subsidy. I pray that the demand may be granted.

CUT MOTION No. 9

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* I move that the allotment of Rs. 1,50,000 be reduced by Re. 1. To demand of the Government to change the subsidy from "meagre" to "ample" Now to substantiate the choice of the words which are deliberately used I have to refer the House to the answer to question No. 333 answered this morning. The answer to sub-division (b) is a most revealing one. Here it is stated, Sir, that the subsidy allowed was till 25th Kumbham 1121, 25 per cent. From that date luckily for the agriculturists it was raised to 50 per cent and the Government was kind enough and we are grateful for that raise it to 75 per cent within a very short time. The 50 per cent period must have been a very negligible one. So it was raised to 75 per cent and for all that thanks, thanks, and ever thanks. This 75 per cent subsidy lasted, please mark the date, till 23rd Chingam 1122. The next day, please mark, is 24th Chingam 1122. Please also note, Sir, that that day, viz., 24th Chingam 1122 is an eventful day in the annals of Cochin. That day, Sir, is the day on which the Notification No. 13 appointing four of our esteemed friends as Ministers of the State was published. I need hardly say that people of Cochin rightly expected many a good thing to follow this unique event. And what follows? There after, that is from the 24th Chingam 1122. I am only reading the answer with some connecting links; thereafter only 25 per cent subsidy was given. O! God! from the day on which the department of agriculture began to be presided over by its new Minister the subsidy to agriculturists went down from 75 per cent to 25 per cent. What a fall! my friends! what a fall, my Hon'ble Minister! in charge of Agriculture. I did not know that this question will be answered in time for using it in the discussion of this cut. But God! willed it as he

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan,]
thought good will come out of it. The answer has come; that is how things come out. I am grateful to whomsoever is responsible for it. From the day on which the present Ministry came to be in charge of the Agricultural Department commenced the misery, Sir, of the agriculturists because of the fact that the 75 per cent subsidy he was getting under the wooden Government of those days.....

Rao Sahib K. Ayyappan *(Minister for Public Works):* Does the Hon'ble Member think that subsidy is always a necessity?

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* Does the Hon'ble Minister expect a reply from me, seriously, does he expect it from me?

Rao Sahib K. Ayyappan *(Minister for Public Wnrks):* Yes.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* Subsidy, Sir, not only with regard to manure but.....

Rao Sahib K. Ayyappan *(Minister for Public Works):* Even for manure, is it a necessity?

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* Subsidy, Sir, even for manure and not only for manure but for many other things connected with agriculture is expectable and will be forced, out of the hands of any 'Government' by the agriculturists when they get themselves organised. I answer your query in the strongest affirmative.

Rao Sahib K. Ayyappan *(Minister for Public Works):* In the morning, it was answered that it was necessary to encourage the agriculturists to use the manure and subsidy was given, but the Government found that in certain places the necessity was over and so they curtailed because the Ministry was popular.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* The Minister seems to be very touchy most unusual with him! There is something wrong in the State of Denmark.

Rao Sahib K. Ayyappan *(Minister for Public Works):* There may be something wrong in the State of Denmark but not in Cochin.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* That coming from a poet, a literature and from an editor-ex, that observation is something understandable. I know, Sir, that Cochin is not Denmark and Denmark is not Cochin. Let us not parry words, though I like it, though; I enjoy it. Now, Sir, I was saying that it is strange to note, I emphasise it that the day on which the new Ministery came, the Ministers driven out by mere strength of members were succeeded, by those coming in, there was a fall from 75 per cent to 25 per cent in the subsidy to the poor tenants. It shows that something is wrong. I maintain, Sir, that the subsidy must not be 75 per cent as of old but ought to have been cent per cent and subsidy must not be for this manure alone, it must be for so many other things if you want to get the paddy you require in these days of stress. It is the duty of the Government to see that it is evenly distributed. It was expected of this Ministry that subsidy to agriculturists will not stop short with manure but will be extended to other fields also. Subsidy for the production of one para at the rate of so many annas as was done by the Prakasam Ministry which of course for having not sufficient offices for distribution among aspirants had to fall as stated by him the other day at Trichur, was expected of the new Ministry. It ought to have been extended for the mere production of even an extra para of paddy. Some intelligent system of munificent subsidy should have been inaugurated. Yet another for intensified cultivation, viz., where he succeeded in producing by exertion and by application of manure and by doing other things which are necessary for intensive cultivation, if he had produced from 10 to 10¼ even then that work of his which goes to help the Government and the country in these days of distress when you want paddy not from the cultivator of Cochin only but from far off Beluchistan.....

Mr. President: It is time.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* Therefore, Sir, I want the Ministry of the day to convert the worse than megre subsidy now allowed not in percentage only but in items also too ample, as becomes a Government of Cochin as it was proclaimed from house tops in the early days of the present Ministry.

Mr. C. R. Iyyunni *(Minister for Revenue):* I have not got much to say by way of answer. The Cochin Government like any other Government have got their own limitations. It is easy to say that the Government will have to find funds for giving greater subsidy than the Government have been doing. With regard to this matter I can definitely say whether the increase to

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

75 per cent was not done at our time I am not in a position to say—whatever that may be one of the reasons that induced the Government to decrease the subsidy was at the time when manure was not popular amongst the agriculturists, the Government really thought that it is absolutely necessary at this particular time that the agriculturists should make use of the manure as much as possible so that the production might be increased and as a result of it when the Government found that 25 percent subsidy was not sufficient it raised to 75 percent with the result that most of the people in Chittur Taluk really took to putting of this manure for agricultural purposes and it was found that the amount of manure that was available was less and at the same time there was rush for manure from the agriculturists themselves. So the Gevernment thought it was not very difficult to reduce the rate and without doing any injury to the production that has already been done by the agriculturists. That was the reason why it was reduced. Then with regard to the other matter, Government also have got only a limited amount of money.....

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* To be given to agriculturists?

Mr. C. R. Iyyunni *(Minister for Revenue):* For every other thing. Even now we had over half a dozen cut motions asking for so many things, you want to start veterinary hospitals in every village—where is the money for all that. There is no use of saying you must find funds. How to realise the money. If fresh taxation is to be resorted to you will come atonce and say that it should not be. What I mean to suggest is the Government have only got a limited quantity of money to be utilised for such purposes.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* I am sorry I am not in a position....
.....

Mr. K. S. Panicker *(Ezhuvas-Trichur):* Why are you sorry?

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* Because I am in the midst of persons like the interruptor and his group. I am not satisfied by the Hon'ble Minister's reply. I express that in another form—that I am sorry. My cut motion was a demand on the Government of the day to convert the subsidy which I characterise rightly, correctly as a 'megre' for want of better expression to explain the sort of subsidy that you give. I wanted through this cut motion that the meagre nature of the subsidy be converted not to munificent, from megre to munificent it would have been better but I know the limitations of the Government of Cochin and therefore
.....

Mr. C. R. Iyyunni *(Minister for Revenue):* You want 100 per cent subsidy. Is it munificent?

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* I just want the subsidy meagre to be changed into not munificent, knowing as I do the limitations of the Government but to the deliberately calculatedly used word in the cut motion 'ample', ample in the circumstances of the case, for getting the best out-turn from the good lands of Cochin, tilled by our emaciated agriculturists with their more ematiated cattle. That is the motion before the House and by way of illustration I happened to refer to question No. 333. The Honble Minister's reply would show—I only pointed out that answer—the hollowness or the want of feeling on the part of the present Government towards the hard hit agriculturist, who I maintain Sir, I will prove Sir, is not getting an economic return for the paddy sold not willingly at 1—6—0 per para which in the black-market fetches not twice 1—6—0 and inspite of your vigilence and in spite of battalions of men under that department, it is flourishing, black-marketing is flourishing, for the simple reason that the black-marketeer, the profiteer and responsible Government servants caught red handed are allowed resiga where lynching alone would have been the proper punishment, where a man ought to have been not dismissed and prosecuted but punished by regular lynching.....

Mr. C. R. Iyyunni *(Minister for Revenue):* The rules don't allow that.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* Extra-ordinary actions require extra-ordinary punishments. An Officer who was found guilty of rank bribery was allowed the other day to resign—the Gazette of a recent date would show—where he has made himself richer by adding to his patrimony of 5 lakhs.

Mr. President: The subject matter of the motion is different.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* My case put forward was not understood by the Hon'ble Minister and I was interjected by so many truely on very many other things

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan]

and these portions of my speech are in reply to that, when you deal with want of money and all that, I submit, Sir, that your so called subsidy is meagre, make it ample and in that connection I was submiting that your unnecessary heavy expenditure on objectionably unnecessary trips, tours and other things, one Secretary there, another Secretary going there with confidential matter will not be disclosed—all these are from the answers—this sort of lavish, stupidly lavish expenditure must be stopped. Then you will have, Sir, what is necessary to make a meagre subsidy into an ample subsidy and later on a munificent one which alone is going to make yourselves self sufficient when the department is presided over by proper persons and directed by proper authority unlike those of to-day. That is the case that I make out. And if the hands of the Hon'ble Members who are seated here in these benches have not been tied under the hypnotism of something emoluments of a few officers I am sure, I would have had their support, but in spite of my knowing that I am not going to have that support.....

Mr. President: Time.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* I am going to press this cut motion in the name of the agriculturists who want this subsidy. Therefore, I press this motion. I know I will be solitary. Let me see your strength.

Motion put and lost.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* A poll Sir.

Yes

1. Mr. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.

(At this stage Hon'ble Ministers Messrs. P. Govinda Menon and Rao Bahadur T. K. Nayar and Mr. P. Mani came in.)

Mr. President: Will the Hon'ble Members please withdraw? Voting has already begun.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* They must not be allowed, Sir, to come in. They must be locked out.

Mr. President: Yes; I have already asked them to withdraw from the House.

Noes

Messrs.
1. P. A. Mohammed Ashrof.
2. K. Kochukuttan.
3. K. K. Kannan.
4. K. S. Panicker.
5. P. K. Dewer.
6. Natarajan Chettiar.
7. R. S. Thevanna Goundan.
8. Paramel Ipe Ipe.
9. K. I. Velayudhan.
10. K. R. Ramakrishnan.

Messrs.
11. M. K. Raja.
12. P. T. Jocob.
13. P. K. Paul.
14. P. Sankara Menon.
15. V. R. Krishnan Ezhuthassan.
16. G. S. Dhara Singh.
17. M. Sivarama Menon.
18. Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu.
19. K. S. Raghavan.
20. K. Govinda Menon.

and 21. Rao Sahib K. Ayyappan.

Mr. President: The motion is lost, one voting for and 21 against.
The question is that Rs. 15,000 be granted under "2A, 4 Subsidy to cultivators"

Demand put and carried

The House rose for the day at 5 p. m.

P. NARAYANASWAMI
Secretary

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly